

Approved For Release 2001/11/19 : CIA-RDP78-03578A000400050018-5

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

STATINTL

TO : Chief, Personnel Studies & Procedures
thru: [REDACTED] DATE: 21 March 1952

FROM : [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Section 1310(d) of Supplemental Appropriation Act of 1952

STATINTL

OGC Has Reviewed

STATINTL

1. Section 1310(d) of Supplemental Appropriation Act of 1952 (P.L. 253) requires each agency to periodically review all of its positions created or placed in a higher grade since September 1, 1950, abolish unnecessary positions and adjust improper classifications or basic pay levels of necessary positions. Each agency is required by July 31 of each year to submit a report to the Senate and House Appropriations and Post Office and Civil Service Committees showing the action taken, together with information comparing for June 30 and the previous June 30 the total number of employees, their average grades and salaries.

2. The responsibility of the CIA under this section has been discussed by the Personnel Office and [REDACTED] of the General Counsel's Office (see EO 10180 and Whitten Rider in this office). The requirements of review, abolishment and price-fixing adjustment are obligatory upon the CIA, and the Agency is meeting its responsibility in these matters. Security requirements preclude adherence to the reporting requirement, and the organic law of the CIA provides the authority for exemption. The following passage is quoted for reference from the account of the meeting with [REDACTED]

STATINTL

STATINTL

[REDACTED] foresees no obstructions to Agency operations in Section 1310(d) of P.L. 253, which requires periodic reviews of positions and periodic reports to Congress. He indicated that the Agency now reviews positions within the requirements of the Section. He gave assurance that compliance with the requirement of periodic reports is unnecessary since such reporting might abridge security requirements."

STATINTL

STATINTL

Let's spell out the frequency of reviews, etc. — Are we really complying or is it our policy or intent to comply? — Let's spell this up a bit and get the concurrence of Gen. Counsel and [REDACTED] as a PDM [REDACTED] for [REDACTED]